


#### Q1 Please indicate who you represent:

| ANSWER CHOICES                                    | RESPONSES |    |
|---|-----------|----|
| STDF Partner (including CODEX / IPPC Secretariat) | 31.58%    | 6  |
| STDF donor  | 26.32%    | 5  |
| STDF developing country expert                    | 26.32%    | 5  |
| Other STDF member                                 | 15.79%    | 3  |
| TOTAL   |           | 19 |






Answered: 19 Skipped: 0

| Virtual Working Group Survey: 30-31 March and 1 April 2 time to complete: 3 min) | SurveyMonkey |  |    |
|--|--------------|--|----|
| ANSWER CHOICES   | RESPONSES    |  |    |
| F  | 57.89%       |  | 11 |
| Μ  | 42.11%       |  | 8  |
| N/A  | 0.00%        |  | 0  |
| TOTAL  |              |  | 19 |

### Q3 How would you rate your satisfaction between 1 (dissatisfied) and 5 (highly satisfied) with the Working Group meeting in the following areas:



|   | 1           | 2          | 3           | 4            | 5            | TOTAL |
|---|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Planning and advance distribution of documents                                    | 10.53%<br>2 | 0.00%<br>0 | 5.26%<br>1  | 5.26%<br>1   | 78.95%<br>15 | 19    |
| Relevance of the agenda and discussions linked to the STDF Strategy for 2020-2024 | 10.53%<br>2 | 0.00%      | 5.26%<br>1  | 31.58%<br>6  | 52.63%<br>10 | 19    |
| Working environment   | 10.53%<br>2 | 0.00%<br>0 | 15.79%<br>3 | 57.89%<br>11 | 15.79%<br>3  | 19    |
| Quality of information exchanged and dialogue by members                          | 10.53%<br>2 | 0.00%<br>0 | 5.26%<br>1  | 52.63%<br>10 | 31.58%<br>6  | 19    |
| Project and PPG review and approval process                                       | 5.26%<br>1  | 5.26%<br>1 | 10.53%<br>2 | 52.63%<br>10 | 26.32%<br>5  | 19    |
| Role in supporting the SPS-related work of your organization                      | 11.11%<br>2 | 5.56%<br>1 | 11.11%<br>2 | 33.33%<br>6  | 38.89%<br>7  | 18    |


## Q4 What do you think could be improved in future STDF Working Group meetings (virtual, hybrid or in Geneva)?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 8

| # | RESPONSES   | DATE              |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Physical meetings certainly will be helpful to promote better discussions in the Group. | 4/21/2021 2:29 AM |

| 2  | hybrid  | 4/7/2021 5:49 PM  |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 3  | Hybrid meetings are more effective for future working group collaboration. It saves a lot of travel for many members, but a presence meeting should still take place. Virtual rooms for informal discussions should be set up in the future.  | 4/7/2021 10:47 AM |
| 4  | Have best endeavour to have a physical meeting at least once next year (situation permitting); however virtual meeting under the present pandemic circumstances, is workable. Agenda for the two can be suitably balanced.  | 4/6/2021 8:11 PM  |
| 5  | Nothing specific for the immediate future, but once COVID restrictions allow for travel, a return to meetings in Geneva would be beneficial. The virtual format allows the work to go on, but we are missing the richness of discussions in a face-to-face context, as well as the networking and informal exchanges between members. | 4/6/2021 10:37 AM |
| 6  | Keep it virtual, concise and short.   | 4/2/2021 9:21 AM  |
| 7  | In Geneva   | 4/2/2021 5:12 AM  |
| 3  | Hybrid  | 4/1/2021 8:30 PM  |
| Э  | I think the webinar environment leaves some challenges in having an open and fruitful discussion (reflected in my scoring responses to #3). In person meetings have a much more fulsome participation.  | 4/1/2021 6:33 PM  |
| 10 | I think the suggestion by some WG members to move the PPG&PG item up earlier in the Agenda merits consideration.  | 4/1/2021 6:32 PM  |
| .1 | N/A   | 4/1/2021 6:24 PM  |

## Q5 Rate the new STDF film "Shaping a safer world" on a scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent)?



Answered: 19 Skipped: 0

3/5

Virtual Working Group Survey: 30-31 March and 1 April 2021 (estimated S time to complete: 3 min)

SurveyMonkey

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES |    |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| 1 (poor)       | 0.00%     | 0  |
| 2              | 0.00%     | 0  |
| 3              | 5.26%     | 1  |
| 4              | 10.53%    | 2  |
|                |           |    |
| 5 (excellent)  | 73.68% 1. | .4 |
| Don't know     | 10.53%    | 2  |
| TOTAL          | 1         | .9 |
|                |           |    |

# Q6 How could you support STDF communications, including dissemination of the new film (pls share your name in case of ideas for follow-up)?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 11

| # | RESPONSES   | DATE              |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Have materials in other languages (Spanish) readily available to share.   | 4/21/2021 2:29 AM |
| 2 | Screening during trade meetings, used as information tool for the National SPS committee.   | 4/6/2021 8:11 PM  |
| 3 | Perhaps the link could be given on COLEACP website?   | 4/6/2021 10:37 AM |
| 4 | We could distribute it through the WTO SPS delegates mailing list (Rolando).  | 4/6/2021 9:54 AM  |
| 5 | We need to create awareness on work of STDF in our region, and how to access STDF support to strengthen SPS capacity in the region. Explain PPG and PG and process to access them. Therefore, I am personally interested to organize national level seminar and workshops and building regional network of stakeholders | 4/2/2021 5:12 AM  |
| 6 | Developed a special regional distribution/contact list for information sharing Agency website and social media pages  | 4/1/2021 8:30 PM  |
| 7 | I've already raised the film on my Linked In post.  | 4/1/2021 6:33 PM  |
| 8 | Forward and discus with other relevant people in my circles   | 4/1/2021 6:24 PM  |
|   |   |                   |

#### Q7 Any other comments?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 13

| # | RESPONSES   | DATE              |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | Great work, Secretariat.  | 4/21/2021 2:29 AM |
| 2 | -   | 4/6/2021 8:11 PM  |
| 3 | The meeting was run smoothly and efficiently. Well done!  | 4/6/2021 9:54 AM  |
| 4 | The meeting was superbly organized by STDF, Chair was excellent and group members were active and very productive and meaningful discussion. Looking forward to similar meetings  | 4/2/2021 5:12 AM  |
| 5 | Well chaired by Tom. The meeting design as three separate webinars was very good though challenging in terms of timing given my location in western Canada. I would like to encourage giving thought to splitting up the webinars over two weeks (at the same timing), if the virtual environment is needed in October. | 4/1/2021 6:33 PM  |

| 6 |
|---|
| 6 |