


Q1 Please indicate who you represent:


24

TOTAL



Q2 Please indicate your gender:

Q3 Please rate your satisfaction between 1 (dissatisfied) and 5 (highly satisfied) with the Working Group meeting in the following areas:



	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Planning and advance distribution of documents	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	17.39% 4	78.26% 18	23	4.74
Relevance of the agenda and discussions linked to the	0.00%	0.00%	4.35%	30.43%	65.22%		
STDF Strategy for 2020-2024	0	0	1	7	15	23	4.61
Working environment	0.00%	0.00%	8.70%	34.78%	56.52%		
	0	0	2	8	13	23	4.48
Quality of information exchanged and dialogue by	0.00%	0.00%	17.39%	43.48%	39.13%		
members	0	0	4	10	9	23	4.22
Project and PPG review and approval process	4.35%	0.00%	8.70%	39.13%	47.83%		
	1	0	2	9	11	23	4.26
Role in supporting the SPS-related work of your	0.00%	8.70%	8.70%	21.74%	60.87%		
organization	0	2	2	5	14	23	4.35


3/10

Q4 What do you think could be improved in future STDF Working Group meetings?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 11

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Logistically the challenge is on my side, sometimes the internet connectivity is poor	11/20/2020 2:06 PM
2	Nothing in regard to the meetings themselves; more lead time to review documents would be great, though.	11/9/2020 5:27 PM
3	PPGs could be approved by the Secretariat directly. This would allow greater focus on PGs and strategic role of STDF by the WG	11/3/2020 11:14 PM
4	Discussion on PG/PPG applications should be drastically streamlined.	11/3/2020 11:50 AM
5	Consider spacing out the meetings, rather than 3 days in a row.	10/28/2020 12:56 PM
6	discuss first PG and then PPG t	10/27/2020 2:13 PM
7	It is best you can make of it in the COVID19 situation	10/27/2020 10:36 AM
8	Disclosuring the documents for SPS members by SPS Committee, may be the countries have many informations/comments that can contribute to the STDF activities.	10/26/2020 11:11 PM
9	Difficult to respond, I attended only to the session on Thursday 15 October afternoon	10/22/2020 10:18 AM
10	It could be ideal that STDF WG can identify new/emerging SPS issues and plan a project in the concerned region with a long-term perspective. Also we'd better pay attention to Specific Trade Concerns brought to SPS committee meetings. Some STCs are related to the need for SPS capacity building in developing countries.	10/21/2020 4:01 PM
11	N/A	10/21/2020 2:39 PM
12	Some attendants ask very basic questions on the projects, they should be invited to read the project documents.	10/21/2020 1:44 PM
13	I think that the roles of the different stakeholders are quite different. The expert agencies have better competence and knowledge about the relevance of different PPGs and PGs whereas donors may not necessarily have the technical expertise. This dilemma may need to be addressed as it is unlikely that donors would have such combined competence as programme manager and SPS expert in order to provide any expert view.	10/21/2020 1:13 PM

Q5 What is your level of satisfaction with the STDF's work in the following areas from January 2020 until present? Please give a rating between 1 (dissatisfied) and 5 (highly satisfied).




1	2	3	4	5

	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Global platform including Working Group dialogue, exchange and coordination on SPS capacity development	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	8.70% 2	47.83% 11	43.48% 10	23	4.35
Knowledge work on thematic topics (e.g. PPPs, P-IMA, GRP, e-certification)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	47.83% 11	47.83% 11	23	4.43
Project development (PPGs)	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	8.70% 2	56.52% 13	30.43% 7	23	4.13
Project implementation	0.00%	4.35% 1	17.39% 4	52.17% 12	26.09% 6	23	4.00
Attention to cross-cutting issues (e.g. gender and environment) and SDGs across all STDF work	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	17.39% 4	39.13% 9	39.13% 9	23	4.13

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Give more information to countries on how to make successfull application for a PPG and what must be included in the applications	11/20/2020 2:29 PM
2	STDF's role is not project implementation, neither project development. The grant applicants are responsible for project development and implementation, STDF supports it.	11/3/2020 11:56 AM
3	none	10/28/2020 1:00 PM
4	I do not know all activities of STDF in detail : responses on questions 1 and 5 difficult	10/22/2020 10:25 AM
5	A "no opinion" box should be added to this survey since I did not attend all the sessions. I cannot assess project implementation, it does not fit with PPG and PGs.	10/21/2020 1:51 PM

Q6 What is your opinion of your own contribution to the STDF's work in the following areas? Please give a rating between 1 (minimal contribution) and 5 (major contribution).



	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Global platform including Working Group dialogue, exchange and coordination on SPS capacity development	4.35% 1	8.70% 2	21.74% 5	47.83% 11	17.39% 4	23	3.65
Knowledge work on thematic topics (e.g. PPPs, P-	8.70%	21.74%	8.70%	52.17%	8.70%		
IMA, GRP, e-certification)	2	5	2	12	2	23	3.30
Project development (PPGs)	17.39%	13.04%	8.70%	43.48%	17.39%		
	4	3	2	10	4	23	3.30
Project implementation	17.39%	13.04%	13.04%	39.13%	17.39%		
	4	3	3	9	4	23	3.26
Attention to cross-cutting issues (e.g. gender and	8.70%	4.35%	34.78%	47.83%	4.35%		
environment) and SDGs across all STDF work	2	1	8	11	1	23	3.35


#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I see myself rather at the level of strategic guidance than project development, approval or definitely not implementation.	11/3/2020 11:56 AM
2	My contribution was based on the need to intervene.	10/26/2020 8:11 AM

Q7 What is your opinion about the benefit of the STDF's work in 2020 for you or your organization, linked to STDF's outcome 1? Please give a rating between 1 (dissatisfied) and 5 (highly satisfied).

	An	swered: 23	Skippe	ed: 1				
		3	4	5				
		1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
	of opportunities for synergies and/or to drive catalytic SPS improvements	0.00% 0	4.35% 1	4.35% 1	47.83% 11	43.48% 10	23	4.30
#	COMMENTS (PLEASE TELL US SOME MC INCLUDING THE STDF'S ROLE IN HELPIN AND/OR CROSS-CUTTING APPROACHES	G TO CAT	ALYSE IN	NOVATIV	E, REGION	AL	DATE	

	AND/OR CROSS-CUTTING APPROACHES TO SPS CAPACITY BUILDING, THE TOPICS/ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED, HOW YOU PLAN TO FOLLOW-UP, ETC.):	
1	STDF's work is important but synergetic collaboration in SPS area is unlikely.	11/3/2020 11:56 AM
2	Great network of experts and organizations attending the working group, to liaise with thanks to STDF. Possibilities for setting PPGs with organizations within the WG.	10/21/2020 1:51 PM

Q8 What is your opinion about the benefit of the STDF's work in 2020 for you or your organization, linked to STDF's outcome 2? Please rate your satisfaction between 1 (dissatisfied) and 5 (highly satisfied).



Q9 Are you aware of specific instances or examples where the STDF has contributed to increased and sustainable SPS capacity in developing countries (programme goal), for instance, in terms of improvements to SPS planning, implementation or delivery at the country or regional level (covering structures, processes, legislation, policy change, etc.)?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 11

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Yes, this was through support provided for African region in the generation of data to support the setting of Codex MRLs. The capacity built during the implementation of the project is currently benefiting the East African Region as we hope to implement more trials to better implement the harmonisation of registration requirements and setting of trials to support data generation.	11/20/2020 2:29 PM
2	STDF's leadership in/support of ePhyto for example, has contributed directly to work being carried out by the WBG in implementing automation to support effective implementation of the TFA by countries.	11/3/2020 11:20 PM
3	Legislation Traceability Laboratory accreditation	11/3/2020 4:23 PM
4	Yes, many. The Annual Report is full of those examples.	11/3/2020 11:56 AM
5	yes	10/28/2020 1:00 PM
6	yes, in connection of trade facilitation programs in East and West Africa.	10/27/2020 10:40 AM
7	Yeah, I am aware of the contribution related to animal feed in Latin America.	10/26/2020 11:17 PM
8	Yes. In some Latin American countries STDF initiatives have improved SPS technical capacities in different areas.	10/23/2020 9:37 PM
9	No	10/22/2020 10:25 AM
10	Yes, I am aware of a few instances on strengthening food safety.	10/21/2020 4:01 PM
11	Some good examples in Latin American and Western Africa	10/21/2020 2:41 PM
12	Yes	10/21/2020 1:51 PM
13	examples as reflected in the results book and annual reports	10/21/2020 1:40 PM

Q10 Please share any additional views or feedback:

Answered: 5 Skipped: 19

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	-	11/20/2020 2:29 PM
2	-	11/3/2020 11:56 AM
3	//	10/28/2020 1:00 PM
4	We need to put in greater focus on outreach programmes	10/26/2020 8:11 AM
5	STDF should facilitate the link between electronic certification in SPS exchanges and the Single Window concept.	10/22/2020 10:25 AM