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Starting point for the MEL Framework

Respond to recommendations in the 2019 External Evaluation of the STDF

Build on STDF’s Strategy for 2020-2024

Learn from previous M&E Framework

Adopt innovation

Ensure fit-for-purpose
STDF’s theory of change

OUTCOME 1
More synergies and collaboration driving catalytic SPS improvements in developing countries

PROGRAMME GOAL
Increased and sustainable SPS capacity in developing countries

SAFE TRADE FACILITATED
Sustainable economic growth, poverty reduction and food security

OUTCOME 2
Greater access to and use of good practices and knowledge products at global, regional and national level

LEARN & DISSEminate

INFLUENCE & CATALYSE

PROJECTS PPGs

GLOBAL PLATFORM

KNOWLEDGE WORK

CONVENE & CONNECT

PILOT & INNOVATE

www.standardsfacility.org
STDFSecretariat@wto.org
#STDF
**Process**

- Creation of STDF MEL Group (with FAO, OIE, IPPC, Canada, UK/SITFA, ITC, Sanjay Dave)
- Collaborative work on MEL Framework and Results Matrix (June-Sep. 2020)
- Discussion of draft MEL Framework with interested WG members (24 Sep. 2020)
- Revise draft based on discussion and comments shared
- Distribute revised MEL Framework to Working Group in Oct. 2020
Key Components of MEL Framework

Purpose
• Ensure accountability
• Increase learning
• Improve operations

Audience
• STDF Working Group and Policy Committee
• Others involved in STDF's work including PG/PPG partners, current/future donors, other stakeholders in SPS capacity development and AFT, beneficiaries, STDF Secretariat

Context & Theory of Change
• Sets out the “problem”, the intervention logic and pathway to achieve change
• Flexible, evolving tool to be revisited, especially to promote learning

Results Matrix (including logframe)
• Detail to operationalize MEL: Indicators, data sources, sample, methods, responsibility, frequency, assumptions, risks, definitions
• Can be turned into more conventional log frames (based on donor specifications)
Indicators

• Attribution rests at programme goal level
• Aim to trace contribution to higher-order impacts
• Balance between qualitative and quantitative indicators (encourage triangulation of data from multiple sources)
• Link programme level to project indicators (aggregation)
• Address gender equality and other aspects of inclusiveness
• Use of SDGs and industry standard indicators at higher-levels

Data sources and methods

• Existing data sources (where possible) to ease the burden, plus new sources
• Primary (improve existing surveys) and secondary data
• Existing and new data collection methods
• Shared responsibilities: STDF Secretariat, PG/PPG implementing partners, WG members
Key Components (continued)

Process and data management

• Monitoring, evaluation, learning

• Cloud-based platform for consistent, robust data collection and management
  o Data warehouse for programme data aggregation
  o Consistency in indicators with a few standard project indicators
  o Integrate and improve learning
  o Improve project management and financial reporting
  o Use off-the-shelf solution, tailored to STDF’s needs
  o Pilot “sand-box” in collaboration with selected project implementing organizations and MEL Group
Key Components (continued)

Delivery and resources

Resources
- Human – STDF Secretariat, WG Members, PG/PPG implementing partners
- Financial – Request to WG to approve budget for companion MEL tool for Strategy period

Piloted roll-out (next steps)
- Results Matrix as a “living” tool to guide MEL
- Role of STDF MEL Group
- Procure, set-up and test “sand box” MEL Tool
- Ongoing dialogue with PG/PPG implementing partners
- Guidance and support to MEL within PGs/PPGs
- Role / expectations of WG members